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Abstract
This paper explores the phonological, morphological, syntactic, and semantic behaviour of the Sesotho form /bo-/. The form /bo-/ is bound and has been classified as a class 2a prefix. The paper examines the recently observed use of the morpheme beyond its traditional function as a class 2a prefix. Using a morpheme-based approach, it demonstrates that /bo-/ is now superimposed on nouns of other classes as well as on words that belong to other grammatical categories. This phenomenon is hitherto unrecorded. The paper proposes that the form /bo-/ is prefixal and syntactically inactive when affixed to nouns and can have several meanings when attached to adverbs and qualificatives.
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Introduction
In their comparative study of Bantu languages, early linguists such as Meinhof (1932) observed that in Bantu languages nouns can be classified according to their prefixes into 21 classes. That is, each class has its own unique prefix which, in most cases, marks the nouns as either plural or singular. Later grammarians such as Doke and Mofokeng (1985) and Guma (1971) adopted Meinhof’s classification of nouns and discovered that Sesotho nouns belong to classes 1-15, with the omission of classes 11, 12, and 13. The noun classes are presented below.
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The internal structure of the Sesotho noun, according to Guma (1971:41), consists of a prefix and a noun stem. Using the morpheme-based approach for mono-morphemic nouns such as *kobo* ‘blanket’ and *tali* ‘rat’, we mark the prefix with a null morpheme, hence *likobo* ‘blankets’ and *litali* ‘rats’ in the plural forms. The internal structure of the Sesotho noun can be depicted on a tree structure as follows:

![Figure 1: The Internal Structure of the Sesotho Noun](image)

**Table 1: Noun Prefixes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Prefix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>mo-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>Ø</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>ba-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>bo-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>mo-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>me-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>le-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>ma-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>se-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>li</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>[N]-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>li[N]-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>bo-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>ho-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For the noun *likobo* ‘blankets’, the prefix is */li*/ and the stem is *-kobo*, while for the noun *tali* ‘rat’, a null morpheme is posited as the prefix and *-tali* as a stem.

The present study is motivated by the researchers’ observation that speakers of Sesotho currently alternately attach the form */bo*/ to all Sesotho nouns before the usual prefix, with the exception of classes 1(a) and 2(a). They also attach it before adverbs, qualificatives, and qualificative pronouns, as exemplified in (1) below.

(1) a. The form */bo*/ is attached to nouns as the nouns below show:
   i) *Bo-mabitso* ‘names’
   ii) *Bo-li-tlhare* ‘medicines’
   iii) *Bo-ba-na* ‘children’
   iv) *Bo-bo-nyatsi* ‘adultery’

The prefixes of the nouns above are *ma-, li-, ba-,* and *bo-.* It can be observed, therefore, that the form */bo*/ is affixed before them.

(1) b. It is also affixed to adverbs:
   i) *Bo-masimong* ‘at the fields’
   ii) *Bo-ka maoto* ‘on foot’
   iii) *Bo-tjena* ‘in this way’

The word *masimong* ‘at the fields’ and *ka maoto* literally ‘by feet’ are adverbs derived from the nouns *masimo* ‘fields’ and *maoto* ‘feet’ through the attachment of the locative suffix *-ng* and the prefix *ka-*, while *tjena* ‘in this way’ is a primitive adverb of manner.

(1) c. It is further attached to qualificatives and qualitative pronouns:
   i) *Bo- ba- ba-holo* ‘the old ones’
   ii) *Bo- tse- khubelu* ‘the red ones’
   iii) *Tsena tsa- bo-ngoana oa lla* ‘these of a child cries’

In example 1c (i and ii), the phrases *ba baholo* ‘the old ones’ and *tse khubelu* ‘the red ones’ are qualitative pronouns. As Guma (1971:99) indicates, the qualitative is dependent upon the substantitive that it follows, in the absence of which it becomes a qualitative pronoun. The first two qualificatives in (1c) above are composed of the qualitative concords *ba ba-* and *tse-* together with the stems *-holo* and *-khubelu*, respectively. In the third example, *tsa bo-ngoana oa lla* is a qualitative that follows the substantitive *tsena*.

The Current Study

This study is exploratory because it is based on limited data. However, it is believed that the data adequately provides some information and direction for a more rigorous research. It seeks to answer the following questions:

a) What are the phonological, morphological, and syntactic features of the form */bo*/?

b) What are the syntactic and semantic roles of the form */bo*/?
Because the study is exploratory, the data was collected through convenience sampling between the beginning of February and the end of March in 2011 from the National University of Lesotho residents’ casual conversations, from various Radio Lesotho Programmes, from people’s conversations on public transport and from family members’ free talk. These were the places where the researchers could conveniently obtain data without doing scientific sampling. Once the data was collected, the occurrence of the form /bo-/ was categorized according to the syntactic categories to which it is affixed. The following observations were made.

**The Findings**

The study identifies specific phonological, morphological, syntactic, and semantic features of the form /bo-/

### Phonological Features

The form /bo-/ is similar to the class 2 noun prefix which is /bo/1. Both are composed of the initial bilabial, plosive sound followed by the rounded, mid-high, back, oral sound /o/. The distinguishing feature between the latter oral sound and the /ol/ of class 14 prefix /bo/ is that the /ol/ of class 14 is rounded, high, back, oral.

### Morphological Features of /bo-/

Although the form /bo-/ is phonologically similar to the class 2a prefix, it differs from the class 2a prefix morphologically in the following ways:

(a) The form /bo-/ is affixed to both singular and plural nouns. The class 2a prefix /bo/1, on the other hand, attaches and is restricted to singular nouns of class 1a;

(b) The form /bo-/ is affixed to words other than nouns, while the class 2a prefix is affixed only to the nouns of class 2a; and

(c) The form /bo-/ is not syntactically active, while the class 2a prefix is syntactically active, as it determines the concordial agreement in a sentence.

The form /bo-/ like a typical morpheme, is not segmentable into other meaningful units and it recurs with a relatively stable meaning in all environments. It does not alter the class or part of speech of the noun, verb, qualitative, and adverb to which it attaches. It is against this background that we call it a bound, inflectional, and prefixal morpheme.

### Syntactic Features

According to Guma (1971), the prefix of the Sesotho noun is a governing element in the sentence, determining the form of the prefixal concords which appear in the words that are brought into a concordial relationship with the noun. The noun prefixes /li- in litamati ‘tomatoes’ and /ba- in bana ‘children’ are the governing elements in that they determine the subjectival concords, as the examples in (2) show:  

(2) a. *Li-tamati li bol-ile.*  
10-tomatoes 10-AGR rot-PERF1

1 Abbreviations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ADV</th>
<th>adverb</th>
<th>AGR</th>
<th>agreement</th>
<th>APPL</th>
<th>applicative</th>
<th>CONJ</th>
<th>conjunctive</th>
<th>COP</th>
<th>copula</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DEM</td>
<td>demonstrative</td>
<td>FUT</td>
<td>future</td>
<td>LOC</td>
<td>locative</td>
<td>PRES</td>
<td>present</td>
<td>PERF</td>
<td>perfective</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
‘The tomatoes are rotten.’

(2) b.  **Ba-na ba li-ikhutsana**
2-children 2-AGR 10-orphans
‘Orphaned children’

Machobane (2010) gives a more detailed analysis of this function. She says,


However, as it can be noted in the data, it is the normal noun class prefix that continues to determine concordial agreement despite the presence of the superimposed form. The phrases in (3) exemplify this phenomenon:

(3) a.  **Bo-bana ba likhutsana**
2-children 2-AGR 10-orphans
‘Orphaned children’

(3) b.  **Ba-fokolitse bo-palo e kholo**
2-AGR reduce-PERF 9-number AP
‘They have reduced the large number.’

It is observed, therefore, that the form /bo-/ does not play the role of the governing element at all in the sentence as is expected of any noun prefix. If the form /bo/ were to determine the concordial agreement, the constructions to which it attaches would be ill-formed, as shown in (4):

(4) a.  **Li-tamati li bol-ile**
10-tomatoes 10-AGR rot-PERF
‘The tomatoes are rotten.’
* **Bo-litamati bo bol-ile**
10-tomatoes 14-AGR rot-PERF

(4) b.  **Ba-na ba li-ikhutsana**
2-children 2-AGR 10-orphans
‘Orphaned children’
* **Bo-bana bo likhutsana**
2-children 2-AGR 10-orphans

This observation leads to the conclusion that the form /bo/ does not have any syntactic function. It does not control the shape of the concord in any way. The controlling prefix is the one closest to the noun stem or the qualificative. Syntactically, the form /bo/ does not play any function.
Distribution

Table 2: Frequency of the Use of the Form \(\text{bo}^-\) in the Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category attached to</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Noun class prefix</td>
<td>31 (49.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adverb</td>
<td>22 (34.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absolute Pronoun</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualificative stem</td>
<td>1 (1.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualificative pronoun</td>
<td>2 (3.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualificatives</td>
<td>4 (6.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copulative</td>
<td>2 (3.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>63 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The form \(\text{bo}^-\) frequently occurs with nouns (49%) and adverbs (35%) and less frequently with qualificatives, qualificative pronouns and qualificative stems (11.1%), the copulative (3.2%), and the absolute pronoun (1.6%).

Affixation of the Form \(\text{bo}^-\) to Nouns

Affixation of the form \(\text{bo}^-\) to nouns covers all the noun classes, with the exception of the nouns that belong to classes 1(a) and 2(a).

Table 3: The Distribution of the Form \(\text{bo}^-\) to Various Noun Classes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Noun</th>
<th>Sample (\text{bo}^-) marked noun</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>ngoana</td>
<td>bo-ngoana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>bana</td>
<td>bo-bana</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>momang</td>
<td>bo-momang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>moroko</td>
<td>bo-moroko</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>metlakase</td>
<td>bo-metlakase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>lera</td>
<td>bo-lera</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>malakabe</td>
<td>bo-malakabe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>sefate</td>
<td>bo-sefate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>litlama</td>
<td>bo-litlama</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>palo</td>
<td>bo-palo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>likoloi</td>
<td>bo-likoloi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>bofubelu</td>
<td>bo-bofubelu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bonyatsi</td>
<td>bo-bonyatsi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>ho pheha</td>
<td>bo-hopheha</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
All the nouns above are taken from the data. The internal structure of a noun with the imposition of the form /bo-/ is shown below.

```
N
  \---\---\
  |     |
N prefix N stem

bo- se  fate
bo- li  koloi
```

Figure 2: The Imposition of the Form /bo-/ on a Noun

The noun *sefate* ‘tree’ is singular, while *likoloi* ‘vehicles’ is plural. Both *sefate* and *likoloi* have two prefixal morphemes, after the attachment of the form /bo-. We observe that the resulting noun has a dual-prefix structure, because the form /bo- is affixed to the already existing noun prefix.

The Form /bo-/ and the Qualificative Pronoun

The form /bo-/ is not affixed to nouns only but also to the qualificative pronoun. As a type of the substantive, the qualificative pronoun also accepts the form /bo-. The qualificative, according to Doke and Mofokeng (1985), becomes a qualificative pronoun when the substantive that is qualified is omitted or placed in the second position (after the qualificative). Figure 3 presents the normal structure of the qualificative pronoun before the attachment of the form /bo-. Binary branching is used with the assumption that it will facilitate understanding since Machobane (2010) states that binary branching facilitates acquisition of sentence structure by children more easily than ternary branching.

```
NP
  \---\---\
  |     |
  N    Qual Pronoun
        \---\---\
        |     |
        Qual concord Qual stem

  tsa  \---\---\---\
  \   |     |
  ba  \   |
  ba  -ngoana oa lla

  ba  \---\---\
  \   |
  ba  -holo
```

Figure 3: The Normal Structure of a Qualificative Pronoun
The possessive qualitative pronoun *tsa ngoana oa lla* ‘of the child is crying’, like a qualitative, is composed of the qualitative concord *tsa-* (monosyllabic) and qualitative stem *-ngoana oa lla* ‘a child cries’ while the adjectival qualitative pronoun *ba baholo* has disyllabic concord *ba ba-* and the stem *-holo* ‘old/big’.

In Figure 4, the affixation of the form */bo-/* to qualitative pronouns is two-fold. It is affixed to the qualitative concord *ba ba-*; thus becoming *bo- ba ba-*; as in (4a), while in (4b) it is affixed to the qualitative stem which is a noun. The noun becomes *bo-bana*.

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 4: The Structure of a Qualitative Pronoun with an Imposed */bo-/* Form**

In the case of the qualitative phrase, since there is a substantive that is being qualified (not omitted as in the qualitative pronoun above), the form */bo-/* is attached to the substantive that is qualified and not to the qualitative concord or stem. *Bo-bana ba likhutsana* ‘even the orphaned children.’
The Form /bo-/ and the Absolute Pronoun

The absolute pronoun, like the noun and the qualificative pronoun, is a substantive. It also appears in the data with the form /bo-/ attached to it. However, it seems to be less productive because there is only one example from the data, as shown in example (5) below.

(5)  Ke-ba-fa bo-tsona libuka tsena...

1st person-AGR 2-AGR give-PAST PRON 10-books DEM-these
‘I gave them even these books.’

In this example, the form /bo-/ is affixed to the absolute pronoun. Every Sesotho noun can be replaced with an absolute pronoun. Absolute pronouns, including those in class 2(a), seem to take the form /bo-/

The Form /bo-/ and the Adverb

The prefix /bo/ is further superimposed on adverbs of place, time, and manner. The examples in (6) illustrate this phenomenon:

(6) a. E tla be e le bo-kamono
    AGR-9 will-FUT be LOC-thereabouts
    ‘It will be thereabouts.’

(6) b. Sehlopha se ile sa etela bo-mola?
    7-Group 7-AGR PAST 7-AGR visit-APPL DEM-there
    ‘Did the group visit that place (and others)’?

(6) c. Ha re laella bo-lipakeng mona
    CONJ-when 1st person-AGR pick up-APPL in between-LOC DEM-here
    ‘They do not allow us to pick up passengers on the way.’

(6) d. Ba tletse bo-Virginia bo-Matlatsane
They are all over Virginia and Matlatsane and other places.’

At times-ADV some-ADJ when-CONJ 1st person-AGR PAST be sulky-COP
‘Sometimes, if I became sulky…’

‘There is a lot of traffic around Easter, around Christmas and during other holidays.’

The adverbs (34.9% of the use of the form /bo-/ in the data), while not quite productive, are the second most frequent category. The most frequently used adverbs with the form /bo/ are the locatives. They are, in and of themselves, either nouns inflected with the /-ng/ suffixal morpheme (as in: masimong ‘at the fields’ (class 6), lipakeng ‘between’ (class 10) to make them locatives or the few derivatives/remnants of UR-Bantu noun class prefixes pa- (as in, fatše ‘down’), ku- (as in, hole ‘far’) and mu- (as in, mose ‘across/ overseas’). The examples are bo-fatše, bo-masimong, bo-mona, and bo-hole.

The Syntax of the /bo/ Prefixed Nouns
Syntactically, the nouns to which the form /bo-/ is affixed are found in three syntactic positions (i.e. the NP, the VP and the PP) where they function as subject, object, and prepositional complement. The frequency of each function, as illustrated in Table 4, indicates that 75.0% of them are objects while 15.6% are subjects and 9.4% are prepositional complements. The frequency of the subject function is lower than that of the object function, possibly because Sesotho is a pro-drop language.

Pro-drop languages are defined by Haegeman (1994:455) as languages that allow the subject to be left unarticulated. For example, in some examples in the data, the subjects have not been articulated, but this is not the case with noun objects. In cases where the subject is unarticulated a small pro is used, as the examples in (7) show:

(7) a. pro Re noa bo-litlhare tsena tsa Sesotho
1st person-AGR PRES-drink 8-medicine DEM-this QUALP-of Sesotho
‘We drink even these Sesotho medicines.’

(7) b. pro Ba sebelisa bo-mabitso a fapaneng
2-AGR work-APPL 6-names QUALP-different
‘They use names different from their own.’
In the examples above, the nouns in subject position are omitted and their position is occupied by the small pro.

### Table 4: Frequency of the Three Functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject</td>
<td>5 (15.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Object</td>
<td>24 (75.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prepositional complement</td>
<td>3 (9.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>32 (100.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### The Semantics of the Form /bo-/  
The study examined the semantic function(s) of the form /bo-/ in order to find out its semantic role. Several hypotheses came to mind. Firstly, the study has found that the form /bo-/ plays the role of a quantifier, very much like the determiners some, a few, etc. and very much like the articles and other determiners in English. When one says bo-litamati ..., one may mean tomatoes and other things or some tomatoes. Thus, the form /bo-/ may serve a function similar to that of the class 2(a) prefix, which indicates or means more than one thing.

The second finding is that it is used with the English meaning among others. This would mean that people think in English and speak in Sesotho. In this way, one does not have to list the various items. If one says, bo-lianamarena, it means that there are different kinds of blankets and by saying bo-lianamarena the interlocutor understands that the speaker does not refer only to the seanamarena type of blanket. There are other types which the speaker does not have to mention. The form /bo-/ does that and the list is understood. Therefore, the form /bo-/ plays the same role as the Sesotho phrase har’a tse ling ‘among others,’ hence the variation. The form /bo-/ may also have the meaning of approximation or around, when used with adverbs of time or place, as illustrated in (8):
(8) a.  Bo-\textipa{hakae ka beke}?
ADV-how many times PP-in a week
‘Approximately, how many times a week?’

(8) b.  \textipa{Nka e lata bo-neng}?
MOOD- I might 9-AGR fetch-PRES ADV-when
‘When might I fetch it?’

These sentences could be interpreted to mean approximately how many times a week or around when what time.

The use of the form /\textipa{bo}/ may further be ascribed to language change and dynamism. As Rapeane et al. (2010) show, Sesotho shows evidence of dynamism like other languages. It is this dynamism that may be responsible for the new form /\textipa{bo}/ that attaches to the noun prefixes (regardless of number) as well as to the pronouns, qualificatives, and adverbs. If so and if it exists for a long time, perhaps grammarians will have to consider this role and incorporate this new phenomenon in their books.

One wonders whether other Bantu languages are experiencing the use of a similar form and, if they do, with what explanations. Langa\textsuperscript{2} (2011) reports a structure similar to this one for Changaan-speaking children’s language. They have been observed using the prefix /\textipa{va}/ with the nouns of class 2 quite unnecessarily, as example (9) shows.

(9)  \textipa{vaJowawu avajondzi}
1-John NEG-PRES-study
‘John does not study.’

Langa observes that this extra (superimposed) prefix occurs in the speech of the children in urban areas as a developmental error, because the children are not yet competent in Changaan (Langa’s own, un-researched opinion). According to Langa, the meaning of an expression such as \textipa{va-Jowawu} is generic, rather than specific. It means that boys in general do not study or do not go to school. John is just an example of such boys.

\textbf{Concluding Remarks}
This paper has explored the phonological, morphological, and syntactic features of the form /\textipa{bo}/. It went on to explore its syntactic and semantic functions as well. Phonologically, the form /\textipa{bo}/ has similar features to those of the class 2a noun prefix /\textipa{bo}/. That is, it is a bilabial, plosive sound followed by the rounded, mid-high, back, oral sound /o/.
Morphologically, the form /bo-/ is affixed to both singular and plural nouns, with the exception of the nouns that belong to classes 1(a) and 2(a), where the traditional prefix /bo-/ is used. It is also affixed to adverbs, qualificatives, absolute and qualificative pronouns, and to the copulative.

The form /bo-/ is not syntactically active as a prefix. It does not play the role of the governing element at all in sentences as the normal noun prefixes do. Semantically, this form seems to play the role of a quantifier. It is also used with the English meanings of among others and approximately.

**Recommendations**

This study recommends further research on other Bantu languages to find out whether this phenomenon is taking place or has always existed in such languages as well, and to find out its limitations and impact on such languages.

Further research on this phenomenon is necessary in order to see who dominates the use of this form. For example, a researcher could compare the speech of the educated with that of the uneducated, the speech of males with that of females, the speech of people who reside in urban areas with the speech of people who reside in rural areas, and the speech of young people with that of the elderly.

**Note**


**References**


Meinhof, C. (1932). *Introduction to the Phonology of the Bantu Languages* (Originally Published in German in 1899; Translated, Revised and Enlarged in Collaboration with the Author and Dr Alice Werner by N. J. Warmelo). Berlin: Diedrich Reimer.

Appendix 1

Data

(The number that follows the example indicates the noun class, while the letter indicates number (singular/plural).

1. Re ka ba ra chaisoa ke bo·likoloi. 10p
2. Re batla bo·liplastic. 10p
3. Le litlama tse kang bo·moomang. 3s
4. U re ka mora’ hore e be sehlopha se ile sa etela bo·mola? Adverb
5. Re tla be ntse re bua tsona taba tsena tsa bo·HIV and AIDS. Qualificative
6. ...ba se ba le teng ka litaba tsa bo·HIV and AIDS. Qualificative
7-9. Ke ne ke bile ke ba fa bo·tsona libuka tsena tsa bo·Mr Joe 2(a)p tsa bo·ngoana 1(a)s oo lla ke re ba ipalle.
10. Ke lumela hore ntho e bobebe ke ha ho ka etela bo·pitso. 9s
11. Bot·se khubelu. Qual Pronoun
12. U tlotse bo·bofubelu mono ho ntlafatsa. 14s
13. Bo·litamati li bolile. 10p
14. Bo·mose koana ba ke ke ba li etsa. Adverb
15. Mohlomong e tla be e le bo·kamono kapa moo re bonang hore sehlopha se tla loaleha. Adverb
16. Ha ho ntse ho buuoa ka ntho tsena tsa bo·HIV and AIDS. Qualificative
17. Ba se ba fokolitse bo·palo. 9s
18. Bo·hakae ka beke? Adverb
19. Le batho ba baholo ba na le bona bo·bonyatsi bono. 14s
20. Ke kopa ho botsa hore na nka e lata bo·neng. Adverb
21. Ke kopa ho botsa hore na motho ha a ngotse bo·article a ka e isa kae. 9s
22. Hobane ba se ba fokolitse bo·palo e kholo ea linyatsi. 9s
23. Bo·bana ba likhutsana ke boikarabello ba hae. 2p
24. O rata bo·ho pheha. 15
25. Ha ke khathetse ke ee ke utloek a bo·lera la mpa. 5s
26. Ke tlala feela bo·masimong mona, ha ho lijo. Adverb
27. ...Ha u re u entse bo·liappointment le bona ha ba tle.10s
28-31. ...Ba apara bo·liana·marena, (8p) bo·malakabe, (6p) bo·sefate (7s) joale morao tlena ho na le bo·Motlatsi khosana . Copulative
32-33. Ba tletse bo·Virginia koana, bo·Matlatsane (Klerksdorp), ba siile bana hae mona. Adverb
34. Ba bua bo·Sekhooa ba nahana hore ha re se tsebe. 7s
35. Ae bo! Bo·masimong mona ke mekhoabo feela. Adverb
36. Ba itsamaela bo·ka maoto hoseng ho bata, ba ea lifemeng. Preposition
37. Le bo·terata tsena li fokotsoe. 10p
38. O na le bo·mahlaseli. Copulative
39. Ke bua ka ntho tsa development and administration. Qualificative
40. Ha ke rate ho noa mabese. 6p
41. Le bana ba lulang hona hae mona. Adverb
42. ... ba baholo bana ha ba re mamele. Qual pronoun
43. Ba re hanela ha re laella lipakeng mona. Adverb
44. (Hlooho) E ka u nka mako e kae e ntse e u tšoere? 9s
45-46. Ngaka o batla ho bona hore na litsoekere tsena le liX-ray liphetho tsa tsona li re'ng. 10s
47-48. O khutlile o re eena a ke ke a pheha fatše (16) kapa ka porëma lekhale. 9s
49. Bo'ka nako e 'ngoe ha ke ile ka koata, pelo e be e liehe ho otla. Preposition
50. (Interlocutor: Le hokae lehlaba?) mona. Adverb
51. Re noa litlhare tsena tsa Sesotho. 8p
52. Ba se ba sebelisa mabitso a fapaneng le a bona. 6p
53. Ke tla fihla ka sebe re tsebe ho bua. Adverb
54-55. O fihlile le ho masanta le mopelo a ntse a bua taba tseno. Adverb
56-57. Re etsa mesebetsi eohlle, mepheho (4p), moroko (3s) le ho lema.
58. Hoja ha se ka mokola, mepheho (4s) ena re ka be re sa e tsebe.
59-61. Terafiki e ba ngata ka paseka, keresemese le ka liholetei. Adverb
62. Makopokopo ao a poone a ka ba mararo. Copulative
63. Ke khone le ho etsa tjena. Adverb

**Appendix 2**

**The Coding Matrix**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>1. Nouns</th>
<th>a) subject</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) Object</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>c) Prepositional complement</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Adverb (locatives)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Pronouns (absolute and qualificative)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Other</td>
<td>Adverbs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>